

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/761,625 | 01/22/2004 | Meng-An Pan | 58268.00350 | 3541 |
| 32294 7590 10/19/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 14TH FLOOR | | | NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG | |
| 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2618 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/19/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| · . | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/761,625 | PAN ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Tuan H. Nguyen | 2618 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 August 2007</u> . | | | | | |
| ′= | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | vn from consideration. | · | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | • | r | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority ı | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | • • | л. — | (770.440) | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Since this application is eligible for the transitional procedure of 37 CFR 1.129(a), and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action is hereby withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(a). Applicant's Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review submission after final filed on 08/24/2007 has been entered. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Pehlke et al. (US PUB. 2002/0136325 hereinafter, "Pehlke") and Hareyama (U.S PAT. 6,700,440).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2618

3. Claims 1- 5, 8-12, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pehlke et al. (US PUB. 2002/0136325 hereinafter, "Pehlke") in view of Hareyama (U.S PAT. 6,700,440).

Consider claims 1 and 8, Pehlke teaches receiving an instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier (fig. 4 page 5 [0051]); and amplifying a signal according to the adjusted output power (col. 6 lines 3-23).

Pehlke does not explicitly show that powering on or off at least one branch of the power amplifier according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power of the amplifier.

In the same field of endeavor, Hareyama teaches powering on or off at least one branch of the power amplifier according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power of the amplifier (fig. 1 col. 3 line 63 through col. 4 line 6, col. 5 lines 29-58 and col. 6 lines 28-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, powering on or off at least one branch of the power amplifier according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power of the amplifier, as taught by Hareyama, in order to provide a high frequency power amplifier having further improved efficiency thereof in comparison with a conventional amplifier and having a structure such that its output can be controlled continuously by changes in the drain voltages of switching-driven transistors.

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 2, Pehlke further teaches transmitting the amplified signal (page 6 [0064]).

Consider claims 3 and 10, Pehlke further teaches the instruction specifies a percentage change in power (page 6 [0066]).

Consider claims 4 and 11, Pehlke further teaches the instruction specifies a dB change in power (page 1 [0007]).

Consider claims 5, Pehlke further teaches the powering on or off a branch of the power amplifier linearly in dB changes the output power of the amplifier (page 6 [0064]).

Consider claim 9, Pehlke teaches a receiving engine capable of receiving an instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier (fig. 4 page 5 [0051]); and a power amplifier engine, communicatively coupled to the determining engine and the power amplifier, capable of transmitting the determination to the power amplifier (col. 6 lines 3-23).

Pehlke does not explicitly show that a determining engine, communicatively coupled to the receiving engine, capable of determining how many branches of a power amplifier to power on or off according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power.

Art Unit: 2618

In the same field of endeavor, Hareyama teaches a determining engine, communicatively coupled to the receiving engine, capable of determining how many branches of a power amplifier to power on or off according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power (fig. 1 col. 3 line 63 through col. 4 line 6, col. 5 lines 29-58 and col. 6 lines 28-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, a determining engine, communicatively coupled to the receiving engine, capable of determining how many branches of a power amplifier to power on or off according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power, as taught by Hareyama, in order to provide a high frequency power amplifier having further improved efficiency thereof in comparison with a conventional amplifier and having a structure such that its output can be controlled continuously by changes in the drain voltages of switching-driven transistors.

Consider claim 12, Pehlke further teaches the powering on or off a branch of the power amplifier linearly in dB changes the output power of the amplifier (page 6 [0064]).

Consider claim 15, Pehlke teaches a power amplifier, comprising: a plurality of branches for controlling transistors (see fig. 2B page 2 [0022]).

Pehlke does not explicitly show that a plurality of transistors, each transistor being communicatively coupled to a branch of the plurality of branches, wherein the transistors are arranged in a logarithmic scale, thereby enabling a logarithmic change in output power with the powering on or off of a transistor.

Page 6

In the same field of endeavor, Hareyama teaches a plurality of transistors, each transistor being communicatively coupled to a branch of the plurality of branches, wherein the transistors are arranged in a logarithmic scale, thereby enabling a logarithmic change in output power with the powering on or off of a transistor (fig. 1 col. 3 line 63 through col. 4 line 6, col. 5 lines 29-58 and col. 6 lines 28-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, a plurality of transistors, each transistor being communicatively coupled to a branch of the plurality of branches, wherein the transistors are arranged in a logarithmic scale, thereby enabling a logarithmic change in output power with the powering on or off of a transistor, as taught by Hareyama, in order to provide a high frequency power amplifier having further improved efficiency thereof in comparison with a conventional amplifier and having a structure such that its output can be controlled continuously by changes in the drain voltages of switching-driven transistors.

Consider claim 16, Pehlke further teaches the powering on or off a branch of the power amplifier linearly in dB changes the output power of the amplifier (page 6 [0064]).

Consider claim 17, Pehlke further teaches a transmitter comprising a power amplifier (col. 15 lines 11-14) (page 6 [0061]).

Art Unit: 2618

4. Claims 6-7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Page 7

over Pehlke in view of Hareyama and further in view of Eidson et al. (U.S PAT.

6,255,906 hereinafter "Eidson").

Consider claims 6 and 13, Pehlk and Hareyama in combination, fails to teach

thermometer coded power control words are used to power on and off branches of the

amplifier.

However, Eidson teaches thermometer coded power control words are used to

power on and off branches of the amplifier (col. 5 lines 27-34).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate the disclosing of Eidson into view of Pehlk and Hareyama in

order to provide the power amplifier is operated as a completely digital device with a

certain degree of digital pre-distortion compensation.

Consider claims 7 and 14, Eidson further teaches the thermometer coded power

control words ensure monotonic power control (col. 5 lines 31-34).

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Art Unit: 2618

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,625 Page 9

Art Unit: 2618

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER